1	JUDGE STIRMER: Depends on location?		
2	WITNESS: It, it depends on location.		
3	MR. DUNNE: Does, doesn't it also excuse me,		
4	Your Honor.		
5	BY MR. DUNNE:		
6	Q Doesn't, also, it depend on frequency? I mean, if the		
7	rate if the highway patrol station is very close to		
8	broadcasts on a frequency that's very close to the IF beat		
9	frequency in a TV set?		
10	A Yes.		
11	Q Doesn't that impact on whether, impact on whether it		
12	would interfere with the TV set?		
13	A Yes.		
14	Q And isn't it also a fact that an FM radio frequency is		
15	much further separated from that 43 megahertz IF beat fre-		
16	quency than a highway patrol station?		
17	A It's, it's separated but the, the difference between		
18	the 85.9 and the 42 is 43.9, so the difference may be playing		
19	a factor, also. Or it's possible to play a factor, but, but		
20	if you were your TV sets right next to the highway patrol		
21	would get more interference potential from the highway patrol		
22	than if the TV sets closer to the radio station, even though		
23	the difference in power because in front of the radio		
24	station that 15 kilowatts is, is going to be stronger next		
25	at that location than the 100 kilowatts by the time it's		

|continuing over to where the TV set is next to the highway 2 patrol. 3 MR. DUNNE: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. DUNNE: 4 5 I'd like to refer you, if I may, to paragraph 2 of that testimony, Mr. Ramage, paragraph 2 of page 3? 7 Α Yes. Now, I will direct your attention to the, the 8 Okay. 9 second line of that paragraph that says, "It's probable," et 10 cetera, et cetera. 11 A Yes. 12 Okay, in your experience, is ghosting on an TV set 13 attributable to a tower, construction of a tower? 14 It can be, yes. 15 Okay, so the construction of a tower alone may con-16 tribute to the ghosting interference. 17 A That's possible, yes. 18 Well, you said it's possible. Is that something that's 19 a common or likely phenomenon? 20 Α It's, it's likely. As, as I was stating yesterday, if 21 it bounces off of a nearby metal -- metallic object, like a 22 water tower, it -- or, or a radio tower, it can cause the 23 ghosting because it's a -- it's reflecting the signal that's 24 received at the TV set separate from the -- directly from the, 25 the transmitting.

1	Q Now, how would you cure, if you know, how would you
2	cure, cure ghosting interference caused by the construction of
3	a metal object?
4	A Sometimes it can be cured just by turning your antenna,
5	moving your antenna to a different spot and maybe get rid of
6	that reflection or it's far enough in time that it the TV
7	just kind of ignores it. I, I haven't dealt with that too
8	much to know.
9	Q Now, Mr. Ramage, I your report is full of infor-
10	mation about filters, the filters that are put on TV sets, et
11	cetera. Do you have much experience with well, as, as part
12	of your training as an electrical engineer, did you receive
13	any instruction in what filters are and how they work?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Can you describe that briefly describe what sort of
16	training you received in what filters do and how they work?
17	A I do not recall a class, but the, the class dealt with
18	filter theory and what was high-pass filters, versus low-pass
19	filters, versus band-pass filters, and a little bit of how,
20	how the they work on a radio signal.
21	Q Okay. So if, if someone were to describe for you an FM
22	trap filter, you would know what they're talking about, right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Or, you know, a notch filter
25	A Yes.

1	Q	an FM filter, and, in fact, you've had experience
2	with b	oth of these filters with respect to this KOKS inspec-
3	tion,	is that correct?
4	A	True.
5	Q	Have you had any experience, other than your profes-
6	sional	your personal education, as part of your FCC train-
7	ing, w	as there any discussion or training, that you received
8	with r	espect to filters and how they work?
9	A	There may have been. I'm not positive whether there
10	was or	not.
11	Q	And you, you don't recall at this point.
12	A	I don't recall.
13	Q	And when you were at the in Iowa, with the FM
14	blanke	ting investigation you previously took part in, did you
15	have a	ny experience with filters there?
16	A	I used filters there, yes.
17	Q	Okay, and what sort of filters for that?
18	A	They would have been FM notch filters.
19	Q	Okay, and these were installed in radio sets to remove
20	interference from one radio station to another radio set.	
21	A	They would try what, what a filter tries to do is
22	attenuate	
23	Q	Right.
24	A	another signal.
25	0	And when you say "attenuate," it means suppress or

1	A Suppress it	
2	Q Yeah.	
3	A And so it, it doesn't just totally knock it out. It	
4	knocks it suppresses it so much depending on the design and	
5	quality of the filter.	
6	Q Okay. If, if a a filter were what usually you	
7	express suppression in units of DB, is that correct?	
8	A Correct.	
9	Q Okay, is there a progression or a graph as far as that,	
10	that goes? In other words, so many DB means you lose so	
11	much	
12	A Yes	
13	Q so much attenuation?	
14	A Yes, for every 3 DB change, you can either double or	
15	halve the power.	
16	Q Okay, if you were to, if you were to, to see a	
17	receive a signal on a particular site, say, 1,500 microvolts	
18	per meter, okay, if you were to attenuate, put a filter on	
19	that would reduce the signal by 3 DB, suppress it by 3 DB, you	
20	would essentially the power received there, the 750 micro-	
21	volts, is that correct?	
22	A Approximately, yes.	
23	Q And for each DB thereafter will be a, you know, geo-	
24	metrical progression then, or logarithmic, or whatever it is?	
25	A Yes.	

1	MR. DUNNE: Okay, stop laughing, counsel.	
2		BY MR. DUNNE:
3	Q	And that's something that you could figure out fairly
4	easily	?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	If you were to use a 60 DB trap filter
7	A	How much?
8	Q	A 60 DB notch filter.
9	A	Okay.
10	Q	Okay, that claims it, it suppresses signal by 60 DB.
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Okay. Is there, is there on a TV set, is there a
13	level of DB that has to get into the TV set that will essen-	
14	tially be viewed or noticed on the screen, or affect the	
15	workings of the screen?	
16	A	I'm not sure.
17	Q	Do, do you know whether
18	A	I would assume I would assume there would have to be
19	some minimum level.	
20	Q	Okay, so then there has to be some minimum level but
21	you don't know what it is?	
22	A	No.
23	Q	And you don't know if it there's a difference from TV
24	to TV,	or, or
25	A	That's correct.

1	Q	tuner, set, or whatever.		
2	A	Correct.		
3	Q	In your experience in installing in dealing with or		
4	instal	ling filters, with respect to a standard, let's call it		
5	a trap filter, okay, and you've seen trap filters, for			
6	exampl	example, at Radio Shack and other consumer electronics stores,		
7	is that correct?			
8	A	Correct.		
9	Q.	Have you ever, have you ever installed one on a TV set?		
10	A	Yes.		
11	Q	Okay, and how often have you done that?		
12	A	Perhaps 20, 25 times.		
13	Q	Okay, and, and was this part of when did you do		
14	this,	Mr. Ramage?		
15	A	In the course of routine investigations, again with		
16	interference that dealt with land mobile and other interfer-			
17	ence.			
18	Q	Okay. Have you ever and I refer to the FM trap		
19	filter	. Have you ever used a, a notch filter?		
20	A	Yes.		
21	Q	Okay, and a notch can you describe for me for us		
22	what a	notch filter is?		
23	A	A notch filter, again depending on the quality of the		
24	filter	and it's design, is designed to notch out a particular		
25	one fre	equency, and suppress, suppress one frequency or one		

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

1	small narrow band of frequencies.	
2	Q Okay. Have you ever had any experience in installing	
3	notch filters?	
4	A Yes.	
5	Q Can you give us an idea when and under what circum-	
6	stances?	
7	A Again, during routine investigations, mostly involving	
8	land mobile where you try to suppress a certain frequency.	
9	Q Okay, and roughly how many? A rough estimate,	
10	Mr. Ramage. Say another 25?	
11	A Probably a little less than that on the notch filters;	
12	maybe a dozen.	
13	Q Okay. Okay, when you, when you took your educational	
14	classes about, about filter theory and they described dif-	
15	ferent kinds of filters, the theories behind filters, was	
16	there any is there any theoretical reason why a filter,	
17	once installed on a TV set, would fail?	
18	A The courses never got into that.	
19	Q In your experience in installing the trap filters and	
20	the notch filters that we just talked about, in your exper-	
21	ience, did any of those fail?	
22	A I believe so, but I do not recall the episode so I can	
23	describe it.	
24	Q In, in your I may have asked this question. Excuse	
25	me if I have. In your training with the FCC, was there any	

discussion of the installation of filters, filter theory, or 1 anything like that, with your FCC training? 2 3 Α No. In your discussions with your superiors or the people you work with in dealing with interference complaints, have 5 there been any, any discussion that you may have overheard or taken part in, in which FM trap or notch filters were dis-7 8 cussed? 9 Yes, I'm sure there were. Α 10 As part of, of those discussions in the regular course 11 of your business, Mr. Ramage, were there any discussions that 12 you overheard or recall in which there was any discussion of 13 filters, FM trap filters or FM notch filters, notch or trap 14 filters of any kind, failing? 15 A Yes. 16 Do you recall under what circumstances? 17 They were tunable filters we have that we use ourselves that had failed, and we have yet to determine why, but they 18 19 were, they were tunable. You can call them FM trap or band 20 pass tunable filters. 21 When you say tunable, you mean you could actually take 22 the filter, put it on an instrument, and then kind of adjust 23 the filter that it's trapping for? 24 Α Yes.

It wouldn't be a, for example, an FM trap filter

25

1	which weeds out all FM signals?		
2	A Normally, it's more of a, a small band. I, I couldn't		
3	tell you exactly how wide the band is, but it would be similar		
4	to trapping out certain band frequencies.		
5	Q Okay. So would it be fair to say, in your experience,		
6	that at least a majority or most of the filters that you ever		
7	heard or discussed heard failed were these tunable that you		
8	just described?		
9	A No, I have no correlation what types they were that		
10	failed.		
11	Q Okay, but the only ones that you recall specifically		
12	hearing of failing were, were these tunable filters you just		
13	described.		
14	A No.		
15	Q Okay, why don't you describe the other ones if you can		
16	A We have a kit that we used to carry around when we		
17	worked on a lot of these type of interference cases from CB		
18	operators and other operators, and we had different types of		
19	filters		
20	Q Um-hum.		
21	A that we would install, and I know some of those have		
22	failed on occasion, some of those most of those were a		
23	band, like an FM trap-type filter. They were not very often		
24	that they failed, but there was some that had failed and did		
25	not do what they were supposed to.		

1	Q	Would it be fair to say, Mr. Ramage, that it's fairly
2	uncommo	n for that kind of filter to fail?
3	A	It's, it's not very common, no.
4		MR. DUNNE: This might be a good, good time to break.
5		JUDGE STIRMER: For what?
6		MR. DUNNE: For lunch.
7		JUDGE STIRMER: How much more do you have with this
8	witness	?
9		MR. DUNNE: Oh, I'd say about another hour or so.
10		JUDGE STIRMER: Well, no, I think we ought to proceed
11	then ju	st a little further.
12		MR. DUNNE: Then I'll need a 5-minute break,
13	Your Ho	nor.
14		JUDGE STIRMER: All right, let's take a brief, 5-minute
15	break.	Be back promptly in 5 minutes.
16		MR. DUNNE: Thank you.
17		BY MR. DUNNE:
18	Q	Mr. Ramage, I think you testified earlier that you
19	spoke to	o Mrs. Raines about her inspection of KOKS in the
20	Decembe:	r of 1989, is that correct?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And that she has she told you that at that time she
23	was a n	ew employee and might not as carefully inspected vari-
24	ous thi	ngs at the station as perhaps you might have?
25	A	Correct.

Did Mrs. Raines also tell you that, that there had been 1 Q 2 an earlier inspection conducted of the station prior to hers? 3 Α No, not that I --4 So you didn't know the station had previously been 5 inspected by another FCC inspector that had, had noted public file -- cited the station for public file violation? 6 7 Α No. 8 Okay, if I may, refer you to KOKS Exhibit No. 5, FCC 9 report of Clark Poole. I refer you to the very last page of 10 that exhibit, Mr., Mr. Ramage. That one there. Look -- just look at it very briefly, if you would. 11 12 Α All right. 13 Okay, as part of your, as part of your inspection of 14 KOKS, either before or after you, you looked at the station, 15 have you ever had an opportunity to review this particular 16 inspection data summary? 17 Α Yes. 18 You did? 19 Α Yes. 20 So you reviewed Mr. Poole's inspection? 21 I, I was not aware that it was before. 22 thought it was after the Raines and Moffitt inspection, 23 though. That, that's why I was surprised when you had indi-24 cated that there was one before. 25

Q

Okay.

So --

D.C. Area 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

1	A My mistake.		
2	Q Okay. So as far as you knew, then this particular		
3	so you did review this, this particular report prior to the		
4	visiting KOKS.		
5	A No, after.		
6	Q Oh, after you visited KOKS		
7	A Yes.		
8	Q you looked at this particular report?		
9	A Yes.		
10	Q Okay, and, and therefore you noted that KOKS previously		
11	had been cited for a, a quarterly no quarterly issues		
12	programming.		
13	A I don't recall if I noted that or not.		
14	Q Okay. Let me ask you, Mr. Ramage, is it, is it your		
15	experience having I know you're a very popular guy making		
16	FCC inspections that once you visit a station and they're		
17	cited for one particular violation, that they're likely to		
18	do have the same violation when you come back the next		
19	time.		
20	A Yes.		
21	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I object to that, that question		
22	on the grounds of relevancy.		
23	JUDGE STIRMER: I'll sustain the objection. I don't		
24	know what we're getting into, Mr. Dunne. Let's confine our-		
25	selves to this case, please.		

1	<u> </u>	BY MR. DUNNE:
2	Q	Okay, Mr., Mr. Ramage, if I can refer you again to KOKS
3	Exhibit	6, page 6, we previously had reference to.
4	A	All right.
5	Q	Okay, if you'll look at the last, the last paragraph,
6	it talk	s about receiving KKLR on Channel 8. Do you have
7	reference	ce to that?
8	A	I see it here, yes.
9	Q	Okay. Prior to your visit to Poplar Bluff in 1992, did
10	you have	e do you recall reviewing that particular paragraph?
11	A	No.
12	Q	Did you discuss that particular paragraph with
13	Mr. Mof	fitt either before or after you made your visit to
14	KOKS?	
15	A	Not that I recall.
16	Q	Okay, did the now, Mr., Mr. Moffitt has essentially
17	explaine	ed or described the television system that was in
18	effect :	in the Smith's home in his report, is that correct?
19	A	I believe so, yes.
20	Q	Okay. Is that the same
21		MR. SHOOK: Counsel, can you refer him
22		MR. DUNNE: I'm sorry.
23		MR. SHOOK: to the particular you know, what
24	you're p	particularly focusing on?
25		MR. DUNNE: Sure, okay. I'm trying to do this quickly,

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 974-0947

1	and	page 5 of Mr. Raines' report.
2		MR. SHOOK: Mr. Moffitt or
3		BY MR. DUNNE:
4	Q	Mr. Moffitt's report.
5	A	Okay, you're talking about the last paragraph?
6	Q	No, I'm talking about the first two paragraphs that
7	describe the	
8	A	The Magnavox?
9	Q	The Magnavox.
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Okay. Now, that says at the bottom, it says, "A Sony
12	black and white portable television with rabbit ears."	
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Okay. Now, that's, that following to the next page,
15	it talks about a Magnavox stereo?	
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Okay, is that essentially the same equipment, and I say
18	"essentially," not exactly, but essentially the same equipment	
19	that you saw?	
20	A	Those three items were there, yes.
21	Q	All right, and were they hooked up as described there?
22	In othe	er words, there was no booster or filter other than the
23	antenna	a system?
24	A	That's correct?.
25	Q	No baluns attached to the input and the output that

1	combine	e to accommodate the twin link?
2	A	I believe so. I'm not
3		JUDGE STIRMER: Check your report to see.
4		WITNESS: I'd like to check yeah.
5		MR. SHOOK: It would be page 29.
6		WITNESS: Twenty-nine? Twenty on page 30, which is
7	a diagram I drew of the, of the Magnavox shows a balun in line	
8	with it.	
9		BY MR. DUNNE:
10	Q	Okay, is that, is that different from the description
11	there?	I'm sorry, I'm not very good at diagrams. I'm just
12	asking	you is it essentially the same, Mr
13	A	I, I was not there when Mr. Moffitt was there, so
14	Q	But is that description essentially the same?
15	A	It could be, yes.
16	Q	Okay. Okay, in your experience, Mr., Mr. Ramage, is it
17	possible that the operation of a, a satellite dish system	
18	would h	have any impact or interference on Channel 12?
19	A	I have not seen any such interference.
20	(Pause.)	
21	Q	Okay, Mr. Ramage, could I refer you to Mass Media
22	Bureau	Exhibit No. 24?
23	A	All right.
24	Q	Okay, do you have that in front of you, Mr.,
25	Mr. Ran	nage?

1	A	Yes, it's right here.	
2	Q	Okay, and I'd like to refer you to the first sentence	
3	of the	third excuse me, have you ever seen that letter	
4	before?		
5	A	I do not believe I've seen this.	
6	Q	Okay.	
7	A I believe yesterday I looked at it, but that's the		
8	first time I recall seeing it.		
9	Q	Okay. I'd like to direct your attention, if I may, to	
10	the first sentence of the third paragraph that begins "we		
11	have" and ends "47 CFR 73318."		
12	A	Yes.	
13	Q	Okay, look have you looked at that?	
14	A	Yes.	
15	Q	Do you know, of your own personal knowledge, what they	
16	mean by what the FCC means in this particular instance		
17	of 0	concerning the types of service interruptions that fall	
18	within	the ambit of the Commission's blanketing rule?	
19	A	No.	
20	Q	You have no, no personal knowledge of what they're	
21	refere	ncing there?	
22	A	No.	
23	Q	Mr. Ramage, in your conversations, do you know if	
24	the	do you know of your personal knowledge if the FCC has	
25	ever re	equired a radio station to resolve a blanketing inter-	

1	ference complaint to a television station when the television		
2	station when the radio station is, is outside the station's		
3	Grade B contour?		
4	A I'm not aware of any, no.		
5	Q You're not aware of any.		
6	A No.		
7	Q In your conversations with Mr. Moffitt, or Mrs. Raines,		
8	or anyone else at the FCC field office, has the fact that		
9	the this one of the particular stations desired by the		
10	people in KOKS is outside it's Grade B contour ever been		
11	discussed in your presence?		
12	A Yes.		
13	Q And can you tell us what that when that discussion		
14	occurred?		
15	MR. SHOOK: Your Honor, I object on the grounds of		
16	relevance. The law of the case is that Channel 6 is to be		
17	protected from blanketing		
18	JUDGE STIRMER: I'll sustain the objection. Let's move		
19	along, please, Mr. Dunne.		
20	MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, for the record, I'd like to		
21	make a statement about that. Once again, the idea, one of the		
22	essential points, is misrepresentation. We had plenty of		
23	testimony that their people didn't think that they had to		
24	provide		
25	JUDGE STIRMER: Well, let's get it from the people, not		

1 from this engineer, Mr. Dunne. He wasn't involved in any of 2 those discussions with any of the people involved here. 3 MR. DUNNE: Well, he may have personal knowledge of 4 what the FCC has said to other people, Your Honor, or what 5 they thought in 1988 or 1989. JUDGE STIRMER: Well, I don't think that it's relevant 6 7 what this particular witness thought in 1988 or '89. 8 BY MR. DUNNE: 9 Okay, but, once again, your testimony is you don't understand what that particular reference was on that particu-11 lar letter, is that correct, Mr. Ramage? 12 No, sir, I did not understand. Α 13 I believe it was your testimony in --I'm sorry. yesterday that you took field readings every day when KOKS was 14 15 on the air when you were inspecting the station and determined 16 that the power never changed? 17 Α Correct. 18 Okay, and you did that at different times every day? 19 Probably not at exactly the same time, but I know we Α 20 took it every morning before we began looking at the homes, the television sets in the homes. 21 22 Now, Mr. Moffitt, in your -- Mr. Moffitt -- I really am 23 getting tired. I apologize, Mr. Ramage. In your -- while you 24 were here at -- in KOKS -- for the KOKS inspection, and you 25 were visiting people's homes, did you ever observe what you

identified as two-way radio interference from the highway 1 2 patrol station? I believe I did. I didn't note it, but I believe I saw 3 Α it on one or two occasions. Do you recall at the top of your head on which 5 occasions? 6 7 Α I believe it would have been the people living in the 8 mobile home court right across the street from the highway patrol station. 10 Okay, and when you say "the mobile home court," that's 0 11 the same mobile home court that Mrs. Hillis lives in, is that correct? 12 13 She doesn't live in a mobile home court. They live below that. The mobile home court, I believe Mrs. -- let me 15 get the list here. I can tell you. 16 Q Okay. 17 A moment. I believe Mrs. Piper, Mrs. Diel, and perhaps 18 Mrs. Wynn, I believe, live in the mobile home court. 19 and I'm not sure whose home it was in, but I do recall seeing 20 something like that in, in their picture that I attributed to 21 two-way interference. 22 Okay, now I'd like to refer you to, if I may, to 23 page 10 of your report -- excuse me, page 10 of the Mass Media 24 Bureau Exhibit 1. 25 Α Okay.

1	Q Okay, I'm directing you to the second first full
2	paragraph, and it talks about the microwave filter filters.
3	I believe it was your testimony that, in your experience and
4	the experience of FCC inspectors that you discussed filters
5	with, the failure of a filter is a not very common experience.
6	I think that was
7	A That's correct.
8	Q your exact testimony. Why, in this instance, do you
9	think why do you think they may or may not have failed,
10	failed in this case?
11	A I stated one theory here in the the heat,
12	heating/cooling. After I did the inspection and I also read
13	Mr. Moffitt's report, he indicated in there that there was a
14	possibility at the beginning when the station first went on
15	the air that they had problems with spurs and harmonics.
16	Q Um-hum.
17	A If the station had spurs and harmonics, they would run
18	outside of the range that the filter was designed to suppress.
19	That could contribute to appearing that the filter didn't work
20	at times, and then did work if the, the harmonics were sup-
21	pressed, or
22	Q Okay, so essentially, then, it wasn't the filter that
23	failed, but that there was a some sort of a problem with
24	the station that created the harmonics
25	A That's a possibility.

1	Q that created	interference.
2	A Yes.	
3	Q Okay. Subsequent	to, to writing this report, did
4	Microwave Filter ever ge	t back to you to discuss possible
5	failure of their filters	?
6	A I had contacted	them by phone and they said they
7	couldn't deny the heatin	g and cooling theory, and that they'd
8	be glad to test the filt	er if it was less than 30 days old.
9	I, I was not aware of an	y filters that were that less than 30
10	days old so I didn't pur	sue it any further.
11	Q Okay, going to pa	ragraph, paragraph 3, it says where
12	you make the statement,	"It does not seem et cetera, et
13	cetera reasonable for	the station."
14	A Excuse me, I'm lo	st. Where are you?
15	Q I'm on page I'	m sorry on page 10 of Mass Media
16	Bureau Exhibit No. 1.	
17	A Okay.	·
18	Q The next, very ne	xt, paragraph.
19	A "It would not see	m reasonable"
20	Q Right, "for the s	tation to continue." The statements
21	in your report, Mr. Rama	ge, why is it not reasonable for a
22	station to continually up	and jack around it's power, if I can
23	use that word?	
24	A Well, there are s	everal reasons. One, it's, it's not
25	good on the transmitter t	to be continually going up and down,

1 just for physical, mechanical, means. They lose their audience any time -- you, you will lose audience whenever you 2 3 lower power, and to -- it just did not seem reasonable for a station to do that just for the purpose of trying to evade, as some of the complainants had indicated, that they may possibly 5 be trying to evade and make the filters appear to guit working and then appear working again by lowering the power. 8 Okay, isn't it also a fact, Mr. Ramage, that if you, you know, raise your power and then lower your power back and 10 forth -- you said it may affect the working of the transmit-11 ter -- doesn't it result in, in lessening tube life, specifi-12 cally? 13 A Yes. 14 And tubes are fairly expensive things --15 Α Yes. 16 -- to put in -- aren't they? 17 That's my understanding. 18 Q Okay, now let's go to the report summary, which is 19 page 13 of Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 1. 20 Α All right. 21 Have you the reference there, Mr. Ramage? 22 Α 23 When you say, when your summary says that the station 24 didn't restore reception and the quality of the viewing it had 25 prior to the commencement of operations, on what are you

|basing that conclusion? 2 The statements from each of the complainants; the indication that there was changes when the station was off the 3 air, there was some improvement in some of the picture quality of the people that I had viewed. And that is what I based 6 that on. Okay. So essentially just a recap of the statements of 0 8 the people made to you? 9 Α Yes. 10 And was -- would you characterize that as your primary 11 source for that conclusion? 12 That would be my primary source for prior to commencing Α 13 The -- I -- the fact that they did not -- there was a change when the station was off the air versus when the 14 15 station was on the air while I was there would indicate that 16 there was interference being caused by the station. 17 that it, it may have happened prior to commencing operations 18 or that it -- they viewed something better prior, I based that 19 on the complainants themselves. 20 Okay. Now, if -- let's, let's go to, if we may, to 21 report -- page 29 of your report. 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay, now, let's take TV 1 of the, the -- it looks like 24 the TASO or TASO reading, readings with KOKS on the, on the 25 air and off the air are fairly close, is that, that correct,

1	Mr., Mr. Ramage?
2	A There, there was some change in two of the channels.
3	Q There was some change. Was it a for people who
4	weren't there, was this a very big change, a very dramatic
5	change?
6	A No.
7	Q Okay, when KOKS was on the air, okay? When you were
8	and you were looking at the TV set, what did you see on the TV
9	set?
10	A As far as quality of picture, or
11	Q No, specifically, I want to make it did you say
12	you testified earlier that the blanketing interference is
13	or any overload interference whether it's from two-way radio
14	or FM blanketing has a very characteristic sort of impact on a
15	TV set, specifically the herringbone lines or the picture gets
16	totally blanked, blanked out.
17	A Typically, yes.
18	Q Okay. When you looked at the sets in Mrs. Smith's
19	house, did you see this distinctive or characteristic sort of
20	pattern?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. Now, I'd like to refer you, if I can, to a
23	sentence, oh, the eighth, about the eighth line down.
24	A Yes.
25	Q It says, "We came back to this residence and had et